

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

BY HAND DELIVERED

Dated: March 17, 2005

TO:

MR. JOHN W. SHAW, ESQUIRE
YOUNG, CONAWAY, STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP
LAW OFFICES
THE BRANDYWINE BUILDING
1000 WEST STREET, 17TH FLOOR.
WILMINGTON, DELAWARE. 19801

FILED
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
2005 MAR 24 PM 3:52

Re: Hamilton v. Leavy, et al.
Civil Action No. 94-336-KAJ*

Dear Mr. Shaw, Esquire:

Delaware Lawyers Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule 1.16. permits a lawyers to cease representation where "good cause for withdrawal exists". Mr. Shaw, Esquire, on March 17, 2005 when Judge Jordan, rule - or ruling by denying jury to examine a document concerning the plaintiff /appellant, evidence was (Deposition Exhibit-Martino/2/and/3). Plaintiff /Appellant, believe he has appeal grounds abuse of discretion by refusing the jury to see evidence that are record like (Deposition Exhibit-- Martino/2/and/3).

1. Mr. Shaw, Esquire, why you didn't objection on record - preserving my right on appeal on that issue, where Judge Jordan told the instruction by telling the jurors my trial on March 17, 2005 they could - not see document in evidence which was. (Deposition Exhibit-Martino/2 - and item 3). Also Mr. Shaw, Esquire, the evidence which part of plaintiff case that the requesting to see where or was (Deposition George Martino /taken pursuant to notice at the offices of Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP, The Brandywine Building, 17th Floor, 1000 West Street, - Wilmington, Delaware, beginning at 2:00 p.m. on Monday, November 3, 2003, before Ann M. Calligan, Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public.).

2. Plaintiff/Appellant Jerome Hamilton, Pro Se, will being raised in higher court why my attorney Mr. Shaw, produce for the jurors - in my trial on March 17, 2005, The jury wanted examine date of the reprimand against Correctional Officer Simpson, was on June 15, 1992 about they - calling plaintiff a snitch. Mr. Shaw, Esquire, i need to ask you this question why you didn't tell the court on plaintiff/appellant behalf where the document was in plaintiff evidence and exhibit's in record which was - crucial facts should have been granted by court. Mr. Shaw, Esquire, why their is knew objections on record for high court review that their was clearly above and abuse of discretion by Judge Jordan on March 17, 2005 because i had a jury trial not a judge trial.....

3. Plaintiff/Appellant Jerome Hamilton, Pro Se, is making this record for future reference that Judge Jordan, deny the members of jury request the date of the reprimand where Correctional Official Simpson who - call plaintiff a snitch. See:(Deposition Exhibit-Martino/2 and 3). And this document is part of the record exhibits at trial on March 17,2005. Plaintiff question is why members of the jurys given this exhibits documents that was or should have been a part of plaintiff evidence at trial to support my case by preponderance of the evidence would show defendant's did violated plaintiff constitutional rights. Mr. Shaw, did you object on plaintiff behalf that Judge Jordan, abuse their discretion by denying members of jurys their duties.

4. Plaintiff/Appellant/Jerome Hamilton, Pro Se, believe - because it is all ready on the record that you Mr. Shaw,Esquire, are in violation of (Delaware Lawyers Rules of Professional Conduct, Rule(1.)(16.)) Permits a lawyers to cease representation where good cause have been show against Mr. Shaw,Esquire, misconduct by given plaintiff bad advise and strategy when you you was managing above-captioned case. And Plaintiff, think that is another good cause reason for your withdrawal from this case if it - a issue you will try to argue with whoever.

5. Mr. Shaw,Esquire, could you please explain for record why it was so many side bars discussion with you the judge and defendant's attorneys that plaintiff and members of jurys could hear. Also Mr.Shaw,Esquire, did Judge Jordan, did deny any of plaintiff evidence not to come into evidences for members of jurys consideration at jury trial on March 17,2005. If so Mr.Shaw,Esquire, please explain did you raised any objections to any side bars discussion to preserved plaintiff appeal issue's rights in above-captioned case. For the record jury trial started on March 14, thru 17,2005.

Mr. John W. Shaw,Esquire, please response to this letter document and give me your side of the story, and what you think happen on - March 14,thru 17,2005 jury trial.....

Dated: March 17,2005

CC:

Plaintiff/Hamilton
Clerk of the Court
Mr. Peter T. Dalleo

Respectfully Submitted
Jerome Hamilton AKA Keith Elsham
Plaintiff/Appellant/Jerome Hamilton
Pro Se,
320 East Fifth Street/Apt.#609
Wilmington,Delaware.19801

Sworn to and Subscribed before me, this 17th day

of March 2005

Frank Russell
Notary